OGC HAS REVIEWED.

Director

19 November 1940

Comerci Counsel

Beimburgoment for liese Attendent at

STATSPEC

l. We have made what we believe to be an exhaustive review of the laws, regulations, and decisions concerning the situation outlined in the accompanying file. As a result, we have resuled certain conclusions and are in a position to make certain recommendations.

2. The conclusions are:

a. That there is no justification for the use of unvouchered funds to make any payments in consection with this case;

b. That there is no walld justification for payment by the Government of the ontire amount to the resu attendant;

o. On the difference between what the employees are willing to refund and the amount peid by the Covernment, there is sufficient doubt as to the necessity for requiring collection from the certifying officer or the employees to justify arguing the point with the suditors or the Comptroller Constal if it is relead.

Reconsoidations: a. The amount the employees are willing to refund be immediately collected and forwarded to the Rudget and Plannes office in Washingston for appropriate disposition:

be That the certifying officer and the employees be informed that the question of further collections is not for final determination by this Agency but one be finally decided only by the Comptroller General but that this Agency will make every effort to justify this amount as a proper obligation of the Government on grounds we believe have at least some validity;

o. That the wondhers concerned be held for audit in the normal manner and not until the question is raised by the auditor should the issue be joined. At that time, we will try to convince the auditor of the validity of our contention that under the

Approved Release 2001/09/03 : CIA-RDP84-00709-00400070049-9

CONFIDENTIAL'

peculiar circumstances surrounding this case the companient need not be collected back. (The alternative would be impediate substantion to the Comptroller Concret of the whole problems but we conceivably on normal sudits the issue may not be reised.)

5. A dotailed nonormake outlining our arguments in support of the above conclusions and resommendations is attached.

LAWRENCE R. EGUSTON

LRII smbt